# Math 142 Lecture 7 Notes

### Daniel Raban

February 6, 2018

## 1 Compactness and Connectivity in $\mathbb{R}^n$

### 1.1 The Heine-Borel theorem and compactness in $\mathbb{R}^n$

**Theorem 1.1** (Heine-Borel). Any closed and bounded interval  $[a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is compact.

*Proof.* Give  $[a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  the subspace topology, and let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an open cover of [a, b]. Let  $X = \{x \in [a, b] : [a, x] \text{ is contained in the union of finitely many elements of } \mathcal{C}\}$ . If  $b \in X$ , then  $[a, b] = U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_n$  for  $U_i \in \mathcal{C}$ , so  $\{U_1, \ldots, U_n\}$  is a finite subcover of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Think of  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . We know that  $a \in X$ , and  $[a, a] = \{a\}$  is contained in some  $U \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $a \in U$ . Additionally, X is bounded above by b. So X has a supremum  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . We want to show that s = b and that  $s \in X$ .

Certainly,  $s \leq b$ , so  $s \in [a, b]$ . Let  $U \in \mathcal{C}$  be an open set such that  $s \in U$ . If s < b, then we can find some  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $(s - \varepsilon, s + \varepsilon) \subseteq U$ . If s = b, then we can find some  $\varepsilon > 0$ such that  $(s - \varepsilon, s] \subseteq U$ ; this set is also open in the subspace topology on [a, b]. We can find points of X arbitrarily close to s; i.e. we can find  $x_{\varepsilon} \in X$  such that  $|s - x| < \varepsilon/2$ . If  $x_{\varepsilon} \in X$ , then  $[a, x_{\varepsilon}] \subseteq U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_n$  for some  $U_i \in \mathcal{C}$ . if  $s < x_{\varepsilon}$ , then  $[a, s] \subseteq [a, x_{\varepsilon}]$ , so  $s \in X$ . If  $s > x_{\varepsilon}$ , then  $[x_{\varepsilon}, s] \subseteq U$ . So  $[a, s] \subseteq U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_n \cup U$ , which makes  $s \in X$ .

Also, if s < b, then  $[a, s + \varepsilon/2] \subseteq U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_n \cup U$ . So  $s + \varepsilon/2 \in X$ , contradicting the fact that s is the supremum of X. So s = b, which shows that C has a finite subcover. Since C was arbitrary, we conclude that [a, b] is compact.

This implies the following theorem, which is more our end-goal.

**Theorem 1.2.**  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is compact iff A is closed and bounded.

*Proof.* ( $\implies$ ) We proved this last lecture.

 $(\Leftarrow)$  A is bounded, so  $A \subseteq [-s,s]^n$  for some s > 0. Let  $C = [-s,s]^n$ . The set [-s,s] is compact in  $\mathbb{R}$  by our previous theorem, so our product theorem for compact spaces says that  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is compact. Then  $A \subseteq C$  is closed in the subspace topology. As a closed subset of a compact space, A is compact.

#### 1.2 Connectivity

**Definition 1.1.** A space X is *connected* if whenever  $X = A \cup B$  with A, B open and  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , then either  $A = \emptyset$  or  $B = \emptyset$ .

Here are a few equivalent definitions:

- 1. If  $X = A \cup B$  with A, B open and nonempty, then  $\overline{A} \cap B = \emptyset$  or  $A \cap \overline{B} = \emptyset$ .
- 2. If  $A \subseteq X$  is both open and closed, then A = X or  $A = \emptyset$ .
- 3. If  $A \subseteq X$  has empty boundary, then A = X or  $A = \emptyset$ .
- 4. If  $f : X \to \{1, 2\}$  is continuous, and  $\{1, 2\}$  has the discrete topology, then f is constant.

**Theorem 1.3.**  $\mathbb{R}$  is connected.

*Proof.* If  $\mathbb{R} = A \cup B$  with A, B open and  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , then  $\mathbb{R} \setminus A = B$  and  $\mathbb{R} \setminus B = A$  are closed. Choose  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$ , and assume (without loss of generality) that x < y. Let  $X = \{b \in [x, y] : [b, y] \subseteq B\}$ . We know  $y \in B$  and  $y \in [x, y]$ , so  $y \in X$ , making  $X \neq \emptyset$ . Also, x is a lower bound for X. So  $I = \inf X \in \mathbb{R}$  exists. As the infimum of X, I is a limit point of X. Since  $X \subseteq B, I$  is a limit point of B, so  $I \in \overline{B} = B$ . This means  $I \notin A$ . Since B is open, we can find  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $(I - \varepsilon, I + \varepsilon) \subseteq B$ . So  $[I - \varepsilon/2, y] \subseteq B$ , contradicting the definition of I as the infimum of X.

**Theorem 1.4.** A nonempty  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is connected iff X is an interval (i.e. X = (a, b) or [a, b] or (a, b] or [a, b)).

*Proof.* ( $\Leftarrow$ ) This is the same proof as the previous theorem.

 $(\implies)$  If X is connected but X is not an interval, then there exist  $a, b \in X$  and  $p \in \mathbb{R} \setminus X$  such that  $a . Let <math>A = \{x \in X : x < p\}$ , and let  $B = \{x \in X : x > p\}$ . Then  $A, B \neq \emptyset$ , as  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ . We have  $X = A \cup B$  and  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , as  $x \in X$  satisfies either x < p or x > p. To show that A is open, we show that B is closed. Since  $p \notin X, \overline{B} \subseteq X$  only contains points larger than p; so  $\overline{B} = B$ . This means that B is closed, so A is open. Similarly, A is closed, so B is open. This contradicts X being connected.  $\Box$